

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Petent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Doc. 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspti.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/098,714	03/15/2002	Kevin D. MacLean	NMTC-0770	3043		
30185 75	12/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
NUMERICAL TECHNOLOGIES c/o A. RICHARD PARK, REG. NO. 41241 PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 2820 FIFTH STREET			ALHIJA,	alhija, saif a		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2128			
DAVIS, CA 9	5616-2914		DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/098,714	MACLEAN ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Saif A. Alhija	2128				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SIX (a), cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		:				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	farch 2002.	• •				
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		I matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		e n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	nt.				
Application Papers		:				
•••	. A8.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	-	T chicated to by the Evamine	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	n priority under 35 11:	S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
_		•				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	•		Clage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Since action for a list	of the defined dopic	is not reserved.				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 Inte	erview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/02, 7/23/02.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-35 have been presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 10 December 2002 and 23 July 2002 are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the Examiner has considered the IDS' as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-14, 16-18, 21-24, 26-28, and 31-35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) The claims state a method involving an "if" statement. It is unclear what the method will do when the "if" statement is not satisfied. According to Claim 1, the first step of the method is to "determine if the target cell is similar to a preceding cell", after which certain steps are performed. However, the claim does not address the issue of what the method will do if the target cell is not similar to a preceding cell. This leaves the claim ambiguous as to its result in situations where the cells are not similar since the rest of claim only addresses the result of a positive comparison.
- b) The claims also state a target cell, but do not state how the target cell is chosen. There is no reference to how the target cell is in fact chosen to be the target cell. The process of "determining" a target cell is ambiguous. The claims also state a target cell, but do not state which cell is the target cell. If a target cell is considered the first cell, then it is unclear how an immediately preceding cell would be

chosen. This leaves the claim ambiguous as to its result in situations where the method analyzes a cell that did not have a preceding cell.

Claim Interpretation

4. Claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 32 contain the statement "...so that a simulated layout of a solution for the target cell matches a desired layout for the target cell." This statement carries no patentable weight, as it is an intended use of the method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cobb

 "Streamlined IC Mask Layout Optical and Process Correction Through Correction Reuse", WO

 00/67074 A1, hereafter referred to as Cobb.

Regarding Claim 1:

Cobb discloses A method for speeding up an iterative process that simulates and corrects a layout of a target cell within an integrated circuit so that a simulated layout of a solution for the target cell matches a desired layout for the target cell, the method comprising:

determining if the target cell is similar to a preceding cell for which there exists a previously calculated solution; (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714

Art Unit: 2128

if the target cell is similar to the preceding cell, using the previously calculated solution for the preceding cell as an initial input to the iterative process for the target cell; (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2.

Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

and performing the iterative process on the target cell to produce the solution for the target cell.

Page 4

(Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 2:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the target cell is similar to the preceding cell if the layout of the target cell matches the layout of the preceding cell, but the environment surrounding the target cell differs from the environment surrounding the preceding cell. (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2.

Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 3:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 2, wherein if the previously calculated solution for the preceding cell is used as the initial input to the iterative process, the iterative process only operates on features within a border region within the target cell that can be affected by the environment surrounding the target cell, and ignores features within the target cell that are not located within the border region.

(Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 4:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the target cell is similar to the preceding cell if the layout of the target cell matches the layout of the preceding cell, and the environment surrounding the target cell matches the environment surrounding the preceding cell. (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1 and 5)

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714

Art Unit: 2128

Page 5

Regarding Claim 5:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the simulated layout corresponds to a

manufactured result for the layout. (Page 6, Paragraph 1 and 2. Page 8, Paragraph 1 and 2. Figure 1

and 5)

Regarding Claim 6:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the target cell is similar to the preceding cell if

the layout of the target cell differs from the layout of the preceding cell by less than a pre-specified

amount. (Page 5, Top Paragraph, Lines 1-4. Figure 5)

Regarding Claim 7:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein if the previously calculated solution for the

preceding cell is used as the initial input for the iterative process, and if the iterative process produces a

simulation result that differs significantly from the desired layout, the method further comprises restarting

the iterative process using the desired layout instead of the previously calculated solution as the initial

input to the iterative process. (Page 5, Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 8:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the iterative process involves repeatedly:

simulating a current solution for the target cell to produce a current simulated layout; (Page 5,

Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

Art Unit: 2128

if the current simulated layout differs from the desired layout by less than a pre-specified amount, accepting the current solution as a final solution for the target cell; (Page 5, Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

and otherwise, correcting the current solution to compensate for differences between the current simulated layout and the desired layout. (Page 5, Top Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 9:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein prior to considering the target cell, the method further comprises:

receiving a specification for the layout of the integrated circuit; (Page 4, Last Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

and dividing the layout into a plurality of cells, whereby each cell can be independently subjected to the iterative process. (Page 4, Last Paragraph. Figure 1 and 5)

Regarding Claim 10:

Cobb discloses The method of claim 1, wherein the iterative process performs model-based optical proximity correction (OPC). (Abstract)

Regarding Claims 11-20 and 21-30:

See rejection for Claims 1-10.

Regarding Claims 31 - 33:

See rejection for Claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714 Page 7

Art Unit: 2128

Regarding Claim 34:

See rejection for Claim 2.

Regarding Claim 35:

See rejection for Claim 6.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record is not relied upon because it is cumulative to the applied rejection.

 These references include:
- A) U.S. Patent No. 5,696,693, Aubel et al. This reference deals with chip layout planning.
- 7. All Claims are rejected.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saif A. Alhija whose telephone number is (571) 272-8635. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 11:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/098,714 Page 8

Art Unit: 2128

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAA

December 9, 2005

PRIMARY PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE